Enumerating Preferred Extensions Using ASP Domain Heuristics: The ASPrMin Solver
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Abstract. This paper briefly describes the solver ASPrMin, which enumerates preferred extensions and scored first in the Extension Enumeration problem—the only one implemented—of the Preferred Semantics Track of the Second International Competition on Computational Models of Argumentation, ICCMA17.
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1. Abstract Argumentation and Preferred Extensions

We recall some basic notions in abstract argumentation (cf. [2]).

An \textit{argumentation framework (AF)} is a pair $\Gamma = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R})$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a set of arguments and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. We say that $b$ \textit{attacks} $a$ iff $(b, a) \in \mathcal{R}$, also denoted as $b \rightarrow a$. The set of attackers of an argument $a$ will be denoted as $a^− \triangleq \{b : b \rightarrow a\}$, the set of arguments attacked by $a$ will be denoted as $a^+ \triangleq \{b : a \rightarrow b\}$.

Given an AF $\Gamma = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R})$: a set $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a \textit{conflict–free} set of $\Gamma$ if $\not\exists a, b \in S$ s.t. $a \rightarrow b$; an argument $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is \textit{acceptable} with respect to a set $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ of $\Gamma$ if $\forall b \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t. $b \rightarrow a$, there exists $c \in S$ s.t. $c \rightarrow b$; a set $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is an \textit{admissible set} of $\Gamma$ if $S$ is a conflict–free set of $\Gamma$ and every element of $S$ is acceptable with respect to $S$ of $\Gamma$. A set $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a \textit{preferred extension} of $\Gamma$, i.e. $S \in \mathcal{E}_{PR}(\Gamma)$, if $S$ is a maximal (w.r.t. $\subseteq$) admissible set of $\Gamma$.

2. Implementation Using ASP Solver clingo

We use a straightforward and well-known encoding for admissible extensions, see [3,1]. Given an AF $\Gamma = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R})$, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ a fact $\text{arg}(a)$ is created and for

\textsuperscript{1}https://helios.hud.ac.uk/scommv/storage/ASPrMin-v1.0.tar.gz
each \((a, b) \in \mathcal{R}\) a fact \(\text{att}(a, b)\) is created (this corresponds to the apx file format in the ICCMA competition). Together with the program

\[
\begin{align*}
in(X) : & \quad -\ \text{not out}(X), \text{arg}(X). \\
\text{out}(X) : & \quad -\ \text{not in}(X), \text{arg}(X). \\
defeated(X) : & \quad -\ \text{in}(Y), \text{att}(Y, X). \\
\text{not_defended}(X) : & \quad -\ \text{att}(Y, X), \text{not_defeated}(Y). \\
: & \quad -\ \text{in}(X), \text{in}(Y), \text{att}(X, Y). \\
: & \quad -\ \text{in}(X), \text{not_defended}(X).
\end{align*}
\]

we form \(\text{admasp}_T\) and there is a one-to-one correspondence between answer sets of \(\text{admasp}_T\) and admissible extensions.

We can then exploit domain heuristics in the ASP solver \texttt{clasp}, a component of \texttt{clingo} [5]. Following [6,4], command line option \texttt{--heuristic=Domain} enables domain heuristics, and \texttt{--dom-mod=3,16} applies modifier \texttt{true} to all atoms that are shown. Since we want to apply the modifier to all atoms with predicate \texttt{in}, we augment \(\text{admasp}_T\), by the line \texttt{#show in/1}. This means that the solver heuristics will prefer atoms with predicate \texttt{in} over all other atoms and will choose these atoms as being true first. This will find a subset maximal answer sets with respect to predicate \texttt{in}. The system \texttt{clingo} also allows for solution recording, see [4], by specifying command line option \texttt{--enum-mod=domRec}. Together with the domain heuristic, this will enumerate all subset maximal answer set with respect to predicate \texttt{in}.

ASPrMin essentially makes the following call and does some minor post-processing using a shell script:

\texttt{clingo admasp} \_T \texttt{--heuristic=Domain --dom-mod=3,16 --enum-mod=domRec}
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